## · WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB-LANSING LANDFILL

Weather Conditions: Scale Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8 s bulging, sliding, rotational movement or alized settlement observed on the aslopes or upper deck of cells containing R?  The conditions observed within the cells taining CCR or within the general landfill rations that represent a potential disruption ingoing CCR management operations? The conditions observed within the cells or aim the general landfill operations that resent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.  Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b))		No No		Notes	
s bulging, sliding, rotational movement or alized settlement observed on the eslopes or upper deck of cells containing R?  The conditions observed within the cells taining CCR or within the general landfill rations that represent a potential disruption agoing CCR management operations?  The conditions observed within the cells or aim the general landfill operations that resent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.	34)	No L		Notes	
s bulging, sliding, rotational movement or alized settlement observed on the eslopes or upper deck of cells containing R?  The conditions observed within the cells taining CCR or within the general landfill rations that represent a potential disruption agoing CCR management operations?  The conditions observed within the cells or aim the general landfill operations that resent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.				-	
s bulging, sliding, rotational movement or alized settlement observed on the eslopes or upper deck of cells containing R?  The conditions observed within the cells taining CCR or within the general landfill rations that represent a potential disruption agoing CCR management operations?  The conditions observed within the cells or aim the general landfill operations that resent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.				-	
eslopes or upper deck of cells containing R?  The conditions observed within the cells taining CCR or within the general landfill rations that represent a potential disruption agoing CCR management operations? The conditions observed within the cells or aim the general landfill operations that resent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.	-				
R?  The conditions observed within the cells' taining CCR or within the general landfill rations that represent a potential disruption agoing CCR management operations? The conditions observed within the cells or the general landfill operations that the seent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.	-		2 -		
re conditions observed within the cells taining CCR or within the general landfill rations that represent a potential disruption ngoing CCR management operations? The conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that resent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.	-				
taining CCR or within the general landfill rations that represent a potential disruption ngoing CCR management operations? The conditions observed within the cells or win the general landfill operations that resent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.	-				
rations that represent a potential disruption ngoing CCR management operations? The conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that resent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.	-				
ngoing CCR management operations? The conditions observed within the cells or a similar that the peneral landfill operations that resent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.	-				<del></del>
re conditions observed within the cells or nin the general landfill operations that resent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.					
oin the general landfill operations that resent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.					
esent a potential disruption of the safety of CCR management operations.					
CCR management operations.					
	( <u>4</u> ))		ı ı		
Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))				
CCR received during the reporting					
od? If answer is no, no additional		し	1		
rmation required.					
all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust				<del> </del>	
resants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-	1		
sponse to question 5 is no, was CCR					
litioned (wetted) prior to transport to			İ		
fill working face, or was the CCR not					
eptable to fugitive dust generation?					
CCR spillage observed at the scale or on		· .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
fill access roads?			_		
CCR fugitive dust observed at the			-		
ill? If the answer is yes, describe					
ctive action measures below.				-	
					•
	•				
laints received during the reporting		1			-
laints received during the reporting 1? If the answer is yes, answer question			1		
יו ני	urrent CCR fugitive dust control res effective? If the answer is no, be recommended changes below. CCR fugitive dust-related citizen aints received during the reporting	urrent CCR fugitive dust control res effective? If the answer is no, be recommended changes below. CCR fugitive dust-related citizen aints received during the reporting	urrent CCR fugitive dust control res effective? If the answer is no, be recommended changes below. CCR fugitive dust-related citizen aints received during the reporting ? If the answer is yes, answer question	rent CCR fugitive dust control res effective? If the answer is no, be recommended changes below.  CCR fugitive dust-related citizen aints received during the reporting	res effective? If the answer is no, be recommended changes below.  CCR fugitive dust-related citizen aints received during the reporting ? If the answer is yes, answer question

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015 xlsx

## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT - 10 - 20 SKR DANSING LANDFILL

Date:	5-20-20 SIK DANSII  10:15 Weather Conditions: (03	NG LANI			•	
Time:	10:15 Weather Conditions: 1003	<u> </u>	~ e/o.vi	۲.		
<del></del>		Yes	No		Notes	
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	: 3 <b>4</b> )				
1-	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	:		1	-	
- 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		. 0			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		C			
CCR Fa	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(	(4))				
	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.					
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?				-	
8-	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			-	-	
9	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.					1
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	-			_	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				-	$\dashv$

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015 xlsx

Additional Notes:

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SEE LANSING LANDFILL

Time:			•		
	5-13-20 Inspector Sav	7 3-7		•	
	-	Yes	No		Notes
ICR La	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	9			
1-	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or			1	-
	localized settlement observed on the	•			
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing			+	
2.	CCR? Were conditions observed within the cells		<del></del>	ļ	
2	containing CCR or within the general landfill				
	operations that represent a potential disruption				
	to ongoing CCR management operations?		-	T	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or				
J-	within the general landfill operations that				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of		·	1	
	the CCR management operations.				
	<del></del>		l .		
	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	9)		·	
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting				
	period? If answer is no, no additional				
	information required				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust				
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR				<del></del>
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to			i	
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?				
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				
	landfill access roads?			,	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			-	
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe				
	corrective action measures below.				•
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control				
	measures effective? If the answer is no,				
	describe recommended changes below.				•
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	- 1			<del></del>
	complaints received during the reporting		1		
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question				-
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				
				·	

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015-xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Time:_	5-6-20 Inspector: Signaturally	ac my	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		. Yes	No		Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	: B)			
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		î	1	
· 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?				A
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		-		
CR F	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	9)			
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?				
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	-			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?				•
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			•	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.				
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question			<u> </u>	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				<u> </u>

## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SEB-LANSING LANDFILL

Date:_	H-29-20 Inspector.	<u> </u>	Den's	
Time:	Weather Conditions: OV	2 CHS	<u> </u>	
		Yes	No	Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	(4)		
1-	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	-		
• 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.			
CCR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(	<u>(4))</u>		
<u>4.</u>	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.			
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-	
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			•
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		•	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	-		
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			-
dditional.	Notes:			

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015 xlsx

## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Time: Weather Conditions: Yes No  CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257.84)  1. Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  2. Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?  3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  CR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257.80(b)(4))  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question  11. Were the citizen complaints logged?	
1. Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  2. Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR?  3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?  3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
1. Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  2. Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?  3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	Notes
1. Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  2. Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?  3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  2. Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?  3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  3. Was ccr received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
CCR?  2. Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?  3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  3. Was corrected during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
2. Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?  3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  3. Was cCR management operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  3. Was cCR management operations.  3. Was CCR management operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?  3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  3. CR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?  3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
to ongoing CCR management operations?  Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  CR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))  Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?  If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  CR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  CR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.  CR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
the CCR management operations.  CR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
CR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))  4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
4. Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
period? If answer is no, no additional information required.  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
information required  5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?  6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
susceptable to fugitive dust generation?  7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
landfill access roads?  8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	<del>-</del>
landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	-
landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
corrective action measures below.  9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	-
measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.  10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
period? If the answer is yes, answer question	
11. Were the citizen complaints logged?	
The state of the s	<del></del>